

**No. ID/FD/36/83/10951.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Parsad Yadav and the management of M/s. Metal Cast Industries, Plont No. 278/24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Parsad Yadav, was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

**No. ID/FD/21/83/10958.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Muni Lal and the management of M/s Sadhu Steel Forging Industries, Plot No. 140 ,Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Muni Lal, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?